



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

### 1. Contact Information

Name: Scott Kazer

LEA Name: Twin Rivers Unified School District

Cohort: K

County: Sacramento

Email: [scott.kazer@twinriversusd.org](mailto:scott.kazer@twinriversusd.org)

Phone: (916)566-1600 50832

### 2. Which of the research-validated or evidence-based programs listed below were implemented?

Botvin's Life Skills Training	5
Keepin' It Real	0
Minnesota Smoking Prevention Program	0
Project Alert	3322
Project Northland	0
Project SUCCESS	0
Project TND (Towards No Drug Abuse)	0
Project TNT (Towards No Tobacco Use)	0
SPORT	0
Stanford Tobacco Prevention Program	0
State-Wide Indian Drug Prevention Program	0

### 3. Comments pertaining to question 2 are located in the appendix. (Optional)



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

4. Which other curriculum-based programs (e.g., LEA adopted health curricula, lessons addressing specific populations, etc.) listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2017/18 school year?

<u>Program/Strategy Name</u>	<u>Number of Participating Students</u>
Anti-Tobacco Media Blitz	0
Blowing Away Big Tobacco's Big Lies	0
Classroom Animation Studio Kit	0
Decisions for Health Series	0
Effective Youth and Adult Partnerships	0
Enough Snuff	0
Guiding Good Choices – Families That Care	0
Hands Off Tobacco! Series	0
Health and Wellness Series	0
Personal/Social Lessons: The Missing Link	0
Project ABCD (Analyze, Beware, Create, Disseminate)	0
Project ALIVE! (Arts Leading Into Vital Education)	0
Project SCAT (Schools and Communities Against Tobacco)	0
Something Stinks in Hollywood	0
Stay On Track Series	0
Teen Health Course Series	0
Teens Tackle Tobacco	0



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

5. If a non researched-validated curriculum-based program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program/strategy along with the number of projected and participating students in the boxes below.

n/a

6. Comments pertaining to question 4 & 5 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

7. Which of the non-curricula activities listed below were offered to students in the general population during the 2017/18 school year?

<u>Non-Curricula Activities</u>	Number of Projected Students
Assembly Presentations	2,624
Law enforcement sting operations to monitor tobacco sales to minors (i.e., Stop Tobacco Access to Kids Enforcement (STAKE) Act or others)	0
Media Literacy and Youth Media Production to Counter the Influence of the Tobacco Industry	75
Youth Involvement in Anti-Tobacco Advocacy	11,419
Tobacco use prevention Peer Educator	252
Tobacco use prevention focused Service-Learning Projects	252

8. If a non-curricula activity not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the activity along with the number of projected and participating students.

Administered the California Healthy Kids Survey intended for all 7th-12th grade students.

9,651 out of 10,514 students completed the survey (91.8%).



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

9. If you selected *Assembly Presentation* as an activity, please specify the names of the speaker(s) and a brief description of the presentation in the space below:

Assembly Presentation - Kaiser's "Don't Buy The Lie" (5th-8th Grade participation).

10. Comments pertaining to question 7, 8 & 9 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

11. Which of the intervention programs listed below were offered during the 2017/18 school year?

Intervention Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Brief Intervention	100	100
Craving Identification and Management (CIM)	0	0
Intervention with Teen Tobacco Users (TEG)	0	0
Project Life	0	0
Smokeless Schooldays: Smokeless Saturday School	0	0
Tobacco-Free Generations	5	5



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

12. If an intervention program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program and the number of projected and participating students.

13. Comments pertaining to question 11& 12 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

14. Which of the cessation services listed below were offered during the 2017/18 school year? For each program offered provide the number of students identified and served under this grant.

Cessation Programs	Number of students identified	Number of students served
Adolescent Smoking Cessation-Escaping Nicotine and Tobacco (ASCENT)	0	0
Enough Snuff: A Guide for Quitting Smokeless Tobacco	0	0
Helping Teens Stop Using Tobacco (TAP)	0	0
California Smoker's Helpline	19	14
Project EX: Teen Tobacco Use Cessation Program	0	0
Project N-O-T (Not on Tobacco)	0	0



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

15. If a cessation program not listed in the table above was offered, please specify the name of the program along with the number of students identified to be served and the number of students served in the space below. *(Please do not use acronyms)*

n/a

16. Comments pertaining to question 14 & 15 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

17. Indicate which programs were provided to students in the grades listed below

	Research-Validated or Evidence-based curricula-based programs	Other Curricula-based programs	Non-curricula activities	Intervention programs	Cessation programs
6th Grade			X	X	
7th Grade	X		X	X	X
8th Grade	X		X	X	X
9th Grade			X	X	X
10th Grade			X	X	X
11th Grade			X	X	X
12th Grade			X	X	X
Non Traditional	X		X	X	X



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

18. Comments pertaining to question 17 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

19. Indicate which programs were specifically targeted to students in the priority populations listed below (i.e.; a conscientious decision was made to select or tailor programs to impact priority populations). Enter an “x” in the appropriate boxes below for the school year.

	Research-Validated or evidence-based curricula-based programs	Other Curricula- based programs	Non-curricula activities	Intervention programs	Cessation programs
African American	X		X	X	X
American Indian or Alaska Native	X		X	X	X
Asian	X		X	X	X
Pacific Islander	X		X	X	X
Hispanic/Latino	X		X	X	X

20. Comments pertaining to question 19 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

21. How many parenting and/or pregnant minors were identified and served during the 2017/18 school year under this grant?

	Number of students
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors identified	5
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors served	5
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors in school based programs	5
Number of parenting and/or pregnant minors referred to community programs	0

22. Comments pertaining to question 21 are located in the appendix. (Optional)



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

23. Report the total number of classified and/or certificated staff trained in one or more of the areas listed in the table below. Then identify how many individuals received each type of training.

Training Type	Classified	Certificated
TOTAL persons receiving any kind of training related to the TUPE program	60	200
Trained to deliver program curriculum	1	34
Trained to deliver youth development strategies	1	25
Trained to deliver intervention strategies	1	14
Trained to deliver cessation strategies	1	4
General TUPE information	100	150

24. If staff were trained in areas not listed in the table above please specify the type of training and how many classified and/or certificated staff were trained in the box below.

n/a

25. Comments pertaining to question 23 & 24 are located in the appendix. (Optional)





## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

26. How many parents received general TUPE information during the 2017/18 school year under this grant? 1,000

27. If parents received training other than general TUPE information, please specify in the space below the type of trainings or information they received and the number of parents participating in each activity.

n/a

28. Comments pertaining to questions 26 & 27 are located in the appendix. (Optional)

29. Were the activities listed in the table below offered in collaboration or coordination with LEAs, community agencies or other organizations?

Activity Type	Local Education Agency	Local public health agency	Local governmental agency	Local non-governmental organization
Curriculum Implementation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Youth Development activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Cessation activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Intervention activities	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local tobacco control coalition planning meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

30. If an activity other than those listed in the table above was offered, please specify the type of activity and if the activity was in collaboration with an LEA, community agency, local coalitions, or another agency.

n/a

31. Comments pertaining to question 29 are located in the appendix. (Optional)



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

### APPENDIX

#### Comments regarding question 2:

Botvin's Life Skills was an optional TUPE deliverable and was offered to secondary school sites upon request. Pacific Career & Technology High School implemented during their Child Development & Life Skills course to Pregnant and Parenting Teens that attended the site.

Project Alert was a mandatory implementation deliverable for all TRUSD middle schools. Unfortunately all but 2 successfully implemented the curriculum. The two sites that had hardships were Rio Tierra Jr & Foothill Ranch MS).

#### Comments regarding questions 4 & 5:

n/a

#### Comments regarding questions 7, 8 & 9:

Media literacy: Be the First - Tobacco Free Generations (PSA's)

Breathe Youth Media Awards (PSA's)

The STAKE Act was postponed and is now aimed at the 18-20 year old demographic as the new smoking purchasing age is 21.



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding questions 11 & 12:

n/a

Comments regarding questions 14 & 15:

n/a

Comments regarding questions 17:



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding questions 19:

Comments regarding questions 21:

Parenting and Parenting Teens attending Pacific Career & Technology High School (alternative education site).

Comments regarding questions 23 & 24:

3 Interns from CSU, Sacramento were trained to provide intervention and cessation resources.



## 2017/18 Tobacco-Use Prevention Education (T.U.P.E.) Competitive Grant Annual Report

Comments regarding questions 26 & 27:

n/a

Comments regarding questions 29 & 30:

Prevention Coordinating Council (PCC), SCOE;; Tobacco Control Coalition (TCC), Sacramento County;; Tobacco Use Prevention Education (TUPE)

Program, California Department of Education (CDE);; BREATHE California;; and Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS), Sacramento County.

PCC provides collaboration and interaction with other Sacramento County district TUPE grantee programs.

If you are the lead agency for a consortium, please list in the space provided below which districts in your consortium contributed to this report.

Twin Rivers Unified School District